



Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

**TRANSMITTAL
FORM**

(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)

Application Number

10/826,153

Filing Date

April 16, 2004

First Named Inventor

Erik Scher

Group Art Unit

1631

Examiner Name

Russell Scott Negin

Total Number of Pages in This Submission

18

Attorney Docket Number

40-002001US

ENCLOSURES (check all that apply)

- ☒ Fee Transmittal Form
☐ Fee Attached
☐ Amendment / Response
☐ Amendment and Request for Reconsideration
☐ Affidavits/declaration(s)
☐ Extension of Time Request
☒ Receipt Acknowledgement Postcard
☒ Information Disclosure Statement
☐ Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)
☐ Response to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application
☐ Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53

- ☒ PTO-1449 Form
☒ Cited References
☐ Copy of PCT Search Report
☐ Copy of EP Search Report
☐ CD, Number of CD(s) _____
☐ Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address
☐ Terminal Disclaimer
☐ Small Entity Statement
☐ Request for Refund

- ☐ Interview Summary
☐ Request for Continued Examination (RCE)
☐ Request for Corrected Filing receipt
☐ Copy of Filing Receipt – marked up
☐ Replacement Application Data Entry Form
☐ Additional Enclosure(s) (please identify below):

Authorization to Charge Deposit Account

Please charge Deposit Account No. 50-0893 for any additional fees associated with this paper or during the pendency of this application, including any extensions of time for consideration of the documents enclosed.

Remarks

SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENTFirm
or
Individual name

Gary Baker, Reg. No. 41,595, Quine Intellectual Property Law Group, P.C.

Signature

Date

March 26, 2009

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below.

Typed or printed name

Sarah Jeromin

Signature

Date

March 26, 2009

MAR 30 2009

PTO/SB/17 (10-08)

Approved for use through 06/30/2010. OMB 0651-0032

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number

Effective on 12/08/2004.

Fees pursuant to the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2005 (H.R. 4818).

FEE TRANSMITTAL
For FY 2009☐ Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27

TOTAL AMOUNT OF PAYMENT

(\$) **180.00**

Complete if Known

Application Number

10/826,153

Filing Date

April 16, 2004

First Named Inventor

Erik Scher

Examiner Name

Russell Scott Negin

Art Unit

1631

Attorney Docket No.

40-002001US

METHOD OF PAYMENT (check all that apply)

☐ Check ☐ Credit Card ☐ Money Order ☐ None ☒ Other (please identify): **Deposit Account**

☒ Deposit Account Deposit Account Number: **50-0893** Deposit Account Name: **Quine Intellectual Property Law Group, P.C.**

For the above-identified deposit account, the Director is hereby authorized to: (check all that apply)

☒ Charge fee(s) indicated below ☐ Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filing fee

☒ Charge any additional fee(s) or underpayments of fee(s) under 37 CFR 1.16 and 1.17 ☒ Credit any overpayments

WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit card information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038.

FEE CALCULATION

1. BASIC FILING, SEARCH, AND EXAMINATION FEES

Application Type	FILING FEES		SEARCH FEES		EXAMINATION FEES		Fees Paid (\$)
	Fee (\$)	Small Entity Fee (\$)	Fee (\$)	Small Entity Fee (\$)	Fee (\$)	Small Entity Fee (\$)	
Utility	330	165	540	270	220	110	
Design	220	110	100	50	140	70	
Plant	220	110	330	165	170	85	
Reissue	330	165	540	270	650	325	
Provisional	220	110	0	0	0	0	

2. EXCESS CLAIM FEES

Fee Description	Fee (\$)	Small Entity Fee (\$)
Each claim over 20 (including Reissues)	52	26
Each independent claim over 3 (including Reissues)	220	110
Multiple dependent claims	390	195
<u>Total Claims</u> - 20 or HP = <u>Extra Claims</u> x <u>Fee (\$)</u> = <u>Fee Paid (\$)</u>		
HP = highest number of total claims paid for, if greater than 20.		
<u>Indep. Claims</u> - 3 or HP = <u>Extra Claims</u> x <u>Fee (\$)</u> = <u>Fee Paid (\$)</u>		
HP = highest number of independent claims paid for, if greater than 3.		

3. APPLICATION SIZE FEE

If the specification and drawings exceed 100 sheets of paper (excluding electronically filed sequence or computer listings under 37 CFR 1.52(e)), the application size fee due is \$270 (\$135 for small entity) for each additional 50 sheets or fraction thereof. See 35 U.S.C. 41(a)(1)(G) and 37 CFR 1.16(s).

Total Sheets - 100 = Extra Sheets / 50 = Number of each additional 50 or fraction thereof x Fee (\$) = Fee Paid (\$)

4. OTHER FEE(S)

Non-English Specification, \$130 fee (no small entity discount)

Other (e.g., late filing surcharge):

Other: **Information Disclosure Statement****180.00**

Other:

Other:

Other:

Other:

SUBMITTED BY

Signature

Registration No. **41,595**
(Attorney/Agent)

Telephone

510-337-7871

Name (Print/Type)

Gary Baker

Date

March 26, 2009



CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on March 26, 2009.

QUINE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP, P.C.

By: Sarah Jeromin

Sarah Jeromin

Appl. No. : 10/826,153
Applicant : Erik Scher, et al.
Filed : April 16, 2004
TC/A.U. : 1631
Examiner : Russell Scott Negin

Confirmation No. 8584

Docket No. : 40-002001US
Customer No. : 22798
Client Ref No.: 01-002001

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 1.56, 37 CFR
§ 1.97 and § 1.98
AND MCKESSON STATEMENT OF RELATEDNESS**


Sir:

The references cited on attached form PTO-1449 are being called to the attention of the Examiner. Copies of the references are enclosed. It is respectfully requested that the cited information be expressly considered during the prosecution of this application, and the references be made of record therein and appear among the "references cited" on any patent to issue therefrom.

As provided for by 37 CFR 1.97(g) and (h), no inference should be made that the information and references cited are prior art merely because they are in this statement and no representation is being made that a search has been conducted or that this statement encompasses all the possible relevant information.

This IDS is being filed after the mailing date of the first Office Action and more than three months after the filing date, but prior to the Notice of Allowance or Final Office Action. Please deduct \$180.00, pursuant to 37 CFR §1.17(p), from the undersigned's Deposit Account No. 50-0893. Please deduct any additional fees from, or credit any overpayment to, the above-noted Deposit Account.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Gary Baker", is written over a horizontal line.

Gary Baker
Reg. No. 41,595

QUINE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP, P.C.
P.O. BOX 458
Alameda, CA 94501
(510) 337-7871
Fax (510) 337-7877

GB/sjj

In view of McKesson Information Solutions v. Bridge Medical (Fed. Cir. 2007), and MPEP 2001.06(b)), Applicants specifically inform the Examiner that this case may be related to the following U.S. Patent Application(s):

USSN 11/075,364, filed March 8, 2005

The Examiner is specifically encouraged to review the file history, including art made of record, as well as any substantive action in the above application(s) including any Restriction Requirements, Office Actions, Responses, Appeals, Appeal Briefs, Examiner's Replies, Notice(s) of Allowance or Issuance in the above-mentioned related application(s), prior to taking any action in the subject application. Applicants further note that the Examiner is aware that prosecution may be ongoing in any related case, and that the Examiner will continue to evaluate the related cases as needed.

Per McKesson, the Examiner is specifically advised that all such related applications **MUST** be evaluated for double patenting and for obviousness-type double patenting issues prior to allowance of any claim in the subject application.

Applicants understand that, due to modern and easy access by the Examiner to related cases on PAIR, or other electronic databases available to the Examiner, there is no need for Applicant to submit copies of any paper in the file wrapper for any related case.